

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/605,631	Applicant(s) FRANCIOSA ET AL.	
	Examiner USMAAN SAEED	Art Unit 2166	

All Participants:

(1) Usmaan Saeed (PTO).

(2) Kevin M. Dunn (Reg No. 52,842).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2008

Time: 11:55am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

17 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative to correct renumbered claim 13 (original claim 17) which depends on cancelled claim 16. Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to correct this error to make renumbered claim 13 (original claim 17) dependent on renumbered claim 9 (original claim 11).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

